| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.                   | Applicant(s)                    |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|   | 10/020,701                        | KWONG ET AL.                    |
|   | Examiner                          | Art Unit                        |
|   | RONALD BAUM                       | 2439                            |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application:            | <u> </u>                        |
| (1) <u>RONALD BAUM</u> .  | (3)                               |                                 |
| (2) <u>TIMOTHY TROP</u> .   | (4)                               |                                 |
| Date of Interview: 12 August 2010   | Time: <u>8:35am</u>               |                                 |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)  |                                   |                                 |
| Part I.   |                                   |                                 |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a   |                                   |                                 |
| Claims discussed: 1,11  |                                   |                                 |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a  |                                   |                                 |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet  |                                   |                                 |
| Part III.   |                                   |                                 |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. |                                   |                                 |
|   |                                   |                                 |
|   |                                   |                                 |
| /Ronald Baum/<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2439  | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
|   |                                   |                                 |

Application No. 10/020,701

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with applicant's representative how the claim 1 broad nature still did not bring out the patently distinct features of the applicant's invention - insofar as separate processors involved in the graphics controller and the OS that was to be booted upon authentication via use input by the graphics controller GUI. Agreement was reached as to modifying the claims via examiner amendment to bring out said features.